Russian Federation-UNDP Trust Fund for Development

RESPAC Project Annual Narrative and Financial Progress Report

30 December 2016

Russian Federation-UNDP Trust Fund for Development (TFD) RESPAC Project Annual Narrative and Financial Progress Report

| Project title: | Disaster Resilience in Pacific Small Island States (RESPAC) |
|---|--|
| Project ID: | 00098523 |
| Implementing partner: | UNDP (Direct Implementation) |
| Project budget: | Total: USD7.5m |
| | TFD: USD7.5m |
| Project start and end date: | April 2016 – December 2019 |
| Period covered in this report: | April – December 2016 |
| Date of the last Project Board meeting: | October 17, 2016 |
| SDGs supported by the project: | Goal 13: Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts |

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Please provide a short summary of the results, highlighting one or two main achievements

during the period covered by the report. Outline main challenges, risks and mitigation measures.

2016 has been a successful year for the RESPAC as significant groundwork was done to prepare the project for full implementation starting in 2017. The project consultant was also recruited to conduct project initiation. It is expected that the PMU will be in full operation once all staff are on board in the first quarter of 2017.

Project Missions and consultations were undertaken in 8 countries to consult with stakeholders on what support can be offered through RESPAC. In partnership with Secretariat of the Regional Pacific Environment Programme, the Pacific Climate Outlook Forum (PICOF) was supported as one of the venues for regional knowledge-sharing amongst climate experts. The first RESPAC Board Meeting took place in October 2016 and a second board meeting will be held in the first quarter of 2017 to finalize the workplan for the first year.

In terms of main outcomes, the most significant is the buy in from most stakeholders. The integrated design of the project is a plus point and many saw this a something innovative. Using data from Component 1 to support Preparedness and Recovery work as well as increasing insurance coverage and other post disaster financing needs.

It is hoped that the Russian Government will continue to provide both technical and financial support so that the project can benefit from the expertise in terms of addressing climate risk. On this note, it is hoped that the expertise is shared without prejudice as small island states are not usually at the forefront of technological innovation. RESPAC would like to implement gradual changes to see that PICs are better served and increase their resilience.



When designing the RESPAC logo, much thought was given to how human beings react when dealing with disaster situations. Our reactions when it comes to anticipating and managing natural disasters, impact its and social consequences, are different based on how much resources our countries invests and assistance received to support response and recovery. Depsite technological advancements, not all apects of disaster are fully comprehended and our abiility to predict when, where and how many lives are/will be affected impacted after a certain disaster is still limited. Despite these shortcomings and need for further improvement, what matters at the end is our tendency to recover and resilience as one community. The setbacks and tragedy brought at the onset of disasters are not irrevisble as our infinite hope and willingness to recover will always guide us in overcoming challenges. Just as there are good days with natures beauty in full view, there will also be some days, when we have to face nature's wrath, and when that happens, we stand ready as one human family to face the consequences, whillst being prepared in the best way we can.

2. RESULTS

2016 was mainly focused on the initiation of the RESPAC project which duly commenced once the PRODOC was signed and funding released. Consequently, the main accomplishments or results can be summed up by making references to specific activities undertaken and completed in 2016 under this initiation phase. Further these activities were not "stand-alone" in nature as there is a logical connection and a sound basis between its conception and completion and the establishment of a platform for RESPAC to deliver high quality results in its lifespan. These activities have also been important in the context of providing the space and means for the introduction of RESPAC and exchange of information with stakeholders on their expectations from the project. Since the RESPAC has both regional and national activities, it is important to differentiate and have a clear understanding with stakeholders on what the project will deliver at each level. Establishment of face to face contact is an important factor as the project outputs and outcomes, the activities carried out during the initiation phase ensured that there was sufficient engagement with the project beneficiaries to help them understand their roles in implementation as well as achievement of results. Summarized below are some of the major results from 2016:

i) Project Missions and consultations undertaken in 8 countries

A total of 8 missions'/country consultations were undertaken in 2016 to engage with countries that could not be consulted during the formulation stage and share information of how RESPAC could contribute to improving capacity to tackle disaster resilience related issues. The first mission undertaken by the Initiation Consultant was to Vanuatu followed by PNG and The next Solomon Islands. countries to be directly visited included the Marshall Islands (RMI), Palau and the Federated States of Micronesia (FSM). Fiji and Tonga were consulted earlier due to the proximity and availability of officials in Fiji.

In terms of the objectives of the missions, there were three primary objectives as outlined below:

- i) Introduction of RESPAC to relevant in-country counterparts.
- Exchange of information on areas most relevant in terms of RESPAC support to national activities.
- iii) Understanding of other major projects operating in the country with similar objectives to RESPAC.

Table 1 above provides asummary of the main points.

| Country | Dates Visited | Main Stakeholders Consulted | Summary of Main Outcomes |
|--------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Vanuatu | July 6 - 9 | Ministry of Climate Change | Assistance under all 3 components welcomed. |
| PNG* | July 10 - 14 | National Disaster Centre, National Weather Office | All 3 components are relevant and collaboration with similar themed national projects on DRM and Climate Change can serve as entry points. |
| Solomon Islands | July 17 - 19 | NDMO, Ministry of Labour and Commerce and National Meteorological Office | All 3 components are relevant however the component on insurance and disaster is seen as innovative and could be piloted in Solomons. |
| RMI** | August 2 - 5 | Office of Chief Secretary, Office of Commerce & Investment (OCI) | Assistance under all 3 components welcomed with special emphasis on component 1 and 2 given RMI's exposure to droughts. |
| Palau | August 6 - 9 | National Weather Office National Emergency Management Center | Assistance under all 3 components welcomed. |
| FSM*** | August 10 - 20 | Office of Environment and Emergency Management | Assistance under all 3 components welcomed. |
| Islands | | d States of Micror | - Republic of Marshall nesia |

Table 1: Summary of Missions undertaken during Initiation Phase

In terms of the main outcomes, the common message from all the in-country consultations indicated an overwhelming support for the three outputs of the RESPAC project. Most countries had similar ongoing projects in the areas that Component 1 – Climate Early Warning System and Component 2 - Preparedness and Recovery, were providing support. On component 1, it was deemed that while Early Warning System (EWS) was not a new concept and was well known throughout the region, there was a need to contextualize EWS within the sphere of climate change as well as establish broader linkage to disaster preparedness and recovery. Most projects were operating in a single vacuum and addressing issues in isolation without any holistic overview and inter-linkages. Given that the Framework for Resilience and Development (FRDP), endorsed in October 2016 by the Pacific Leaders, there is now a regional platform that provides a basis of looking at climate change and disasters from a single perspective. The RESPAC project was seen as unique in that it took a consolidated approach in terms of identifying the different variables that will contribute to increased resilience in the Pacific from climate change and natural disasters. Some stakeholders also needed to understand that EWS and CLEWS are separate terms and that CLEWS as supported by RESPAC will be looking at climate variables and not necessary monitoring of river gauges to prevent flooding or providing tsunami sirens for coastal areas. Countries consulted also indicated their preference for Component 3 - "Increased use of financial instruments to manage and share disaster related risk and fund post disaster recovery efforts" which they saw as innovative and something which has not been attempted to the degree that the RESPAC intends to do in its lifetime.

ii) Pacific Climate Outlook Forum (PICOF)

The continued funding of PICOF for 2016 – 2018 was made possible through a partnership agreement between UNDP and the Secretariat for the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP) and funded through the RESPAC project. Annually through this event, more than 50 experts and climate practitioners from the Pacific region meet to discuss climate outlooks and variables during the calendar year. Further the PICOF is meant to support climatologists and weather forecasters to better



prepare bulletins and public advisory in anticipation of the "cyclone season" which typically starts in November and ends in April of the ensuing year. In 2016, the focus of PICOF was on collaboration between Met Offices and their counterparts in the disaster management agencies. In the event, both counterparts gained additional context and knowledge of each other's work and compiled national level action plans to ensure more collaboration. Through RESPAC, funding has been provided to subsidize travel costs for 15 Pacific Island countries to send their delegates to the PICOF from 2016 - 2018.

iii) RESPAC Board Meeting



Picture 2: RESPAC Inaugural Board Meeting

Using the opportunity afforded by the PICOF, in particular the availability of delegates from the 14 (out of 15) countries, UNDP organized the inaugural project board meeting in Nadi on 17 October, 2016. Also participating in the event were observers from the Secretariat of Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP) as well as the World Meteorological Organization (WMO). On the donor side, a delegation led by Mr. Dimitry Maximychev, Deputy Director General from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs attended the meeting as

well as Dr. Igor Skholnik and Ms Natalia Nefedova. The highlights of the meeting are as follows:

- Project Board Structure explained.
- SPREP Proposal for financial support for the Community Based Early Warning System which has been previously supported by a grant from Finland Government.
- The National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA) presented a proposal on regional training programme for Meteorological Technicians and equipment calibration.
- UNDP presented on some of the work completed since the beginning of the initiation phase.
- ✤ The main outcomes of the meeting were:
 - \circ UNDP requested to develop a Multi-Criteria Analysis for the selection of countries to be supported under component 1 CLEWS.
 - UNDP also requested to speed up the recruitment of project staff.
 - Next board meeting to be held by the first quarter of 2017.

iv) Staff Recruitment

Following the board meeting, UNDP completed the staff recruitments in December and contracts were issued for 2 staff to start in January 2017 with another 2 to join a month later. In term of out-posted staff, recruitment for the Samoa based staff is expected in the first quarter. Further details will be shared in the second board meeting.



Fiji Meteorological Staff (FMS) learn how to electronically archive manual records.

Prior to the advent of new technology in meteorological services, weather records were manually taken and logged in handbooks. This process was followed for more than 100 years until the arrival of digital computer records. The staff of Fiji Met services, with financial support from The Russian Federation through RESPAC, received a week long training on the digitisation of manual records. Training was conducted by Mr. Braden Rowe at the FMS premises in Nadi. Mr. Ravin Kumar, Director of FMS thanked The Russian Federation, UNDP and RESPAC for their support and emphasized that the training will be put in good use and most if not all records to be digitized by end of 2017.

3. PROJECT RISKS

| | : 🖑 - No Substantial Ch | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|----------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------|----------------|---|
| # | Description | Date Identified | Туре | Impact & Probability | Countermeasures / Management response | Owner | Submitted, updated by | Last Update | Status |
| 1 | Natural Disasters in the Region | Since Inception | Environmental Strategic | A multiplier impact of a natural disaster on several of the participating countries may result in delayed and perhaps none delivery of some project activities. Countries may be required to reprioritize these development activities to facilitate response and recovery. This reprioritization may not necessarily be in sync with the project outputs. P = 5 I = 3 | Allocation of resources and prioritization of activity results may need to respond to situational context of a country(ies). | Project Manager | Initiation Consultant | Jan -2017 | No Change: As of date, no major disasters have impacted the Pacific with exception of low scale earthquake and flooding damages in Solomon Islands and Fiji respectively. |
| 2 | Lack of engagement and coordination among regional and national institutions | Since Inception | Political Strategic | Overall results and long- term sustainability of the Project will be affected if the project does not successfully build on existing strengths nationally and regionally. P = 3 I = 5 | The project will build participation and ownership at a regional and national level through a consultative inception phase, which will define needs, strengths and value added of respective stakeholders. | Project Manager, Team and Partners | Initiation Consultant | Jan -2017 | No Change: UNDP will host a few agencies in the region active in the EWS space to discuss common strategy and sharing of workplans to enhance coordination. |

| Key | : 🖑 - No Substantial Cł | nange 🗳 - Vis | sible Improvemen | t 👎 - Worsening | | | | | |
|-----|--|--------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--------------------------|----------------|--|
| # | Description | Date Identified | Туре | Impact & Probability | Countermeasures / Management response | Owner | Submitted, updated by | Last Update | Status |
| 3 | Delays in Project Implementation. | Since Inception | Operational Strategic Financial | Delays in the approval of procurement and contracting requests, reports and transfers of funds will result in overall delay of project implementations and affect the achievement of results. P=3 I=4 | The Project will ensure dedicated project staff for programming and and operations to ensure timely implementation of the project | Project Manager, COs and Partners | Initiation Consultant | Jan -2017 | Positive Change: With the 8-month initiation phase coming to an end in January 2017, project is well placed to carry out its functions with a full complement of staff expected by March 2017. |
| 4 | Unclear management and monitoring of the project between Pacific Office and UNDP COs | Since Inception | Operational | The unclear division of responsibilities in terms of management and monitoring will impact the overall transparency / effectiveness in delivering services. P = 3 I=4 | UNDP Pacific Office will clarify the roles and responsibilities among COs | Project Manager, COs | Initiation Consultant | Jan -2017 | Positive Change: Realignment of the UNDP Pacific Office and visit to PNG CO and staff from Samoa Office in Fiji has ensured that the project will be well coordinated with the 3 offices. |
| 5 | Low level of awareness and priority to the issue of pre-disaster recovery planning at national level. | Since Inception | Strategic Operational | Difficulties in engaging governments on the issue of pre-disaster recovery planning P=1 I=4 | Assess need and capacity at outset; ownership of national governments of preparedness and planning for recovery process enhanced by MOUs. | Project Manager and COs | Initiation Consultant | Jan - 2017 | No Change. UNDP recently organized training for its staff facilitated by its Crisis Recovery Unit which helped knowledge building of UNDP's role in post disaster response and paving way for country and sub regional level training opportunities. |

| Key: | Key: 🖑 - No Substantial Change 🌢 - Visible Improvement 👎 - Worsening | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|--------------------|--------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---|
| # | Description | Date Identified | Туре | Impact & Probability | Countermeasures / Management response | Owner | Submitted, updated by | Last Update | Status |
| 6 | Lack of clarity on Early Recovery Seed Fund | Since Inception | Strategic Operational | Fund does not serve recovery needs of communities P=1 I= 4 | Clear operational framework for Fund, guidelines and communication strategy. | Project Manager and COs | Initiation Consultant | Jan -2017 | No Change: More in-depth discussions expected in 2017 with donors and governments to set up a funding mechanism to enhance early recovery. |

4. LESSONS LEARNT

During the initiation phase, a few pertinent issues came to light that needed some attention and are raised in this section as lessons learned and to ensure that the RESPAC team is appropriately guided in the future when dealing with similar issues.

> The importance of the Initiation Phase

In a relatively small (in population terms) but geographically complex region such as the Pacific, where high costs of bringing PIC representatives together is a limiting factor, it is mandatory for projects such as the RESPAC (that are also regional in scope) to have an initiation phase before it goes into full implementation mode. RESPAC has benefitted from an extended initiation phase as it allowed stakeholders to test out the project parameters that were assumed during the formulation phase due to limited funding. As it turned out, most project parameters were seen as relevant and important to building resilience. The initiation phase with the influx of project funds allowed UNDP and stakeholders to gain full understanding of the project before moving to full-fledged implementation.

> Early Warning Systems (EWS) – What does it entail and where does RESPAC fit in?

In the Pacific, there is a general tendency to use the term "early warning systems" as a catch-all phrase for all types of EWS without looking at the intricacies of how each system functions in different disaster settings. An early warning system can be set up and used in multiple contexts but the components required for effective EWS are quite specific and needs different skillsets and equipment relevant to different types of disasters. For example, the early warning needs of coastal community threatened by a tsunami (e.g., through siren systems) is quite different from those that are living in flood prone low lying areas near to river banks. Similarly, yet slow onset, drought prone areas that do not get adequate rainfall and have limited alternative fresh water supplies require modern rainfall measuring devices for an effective EWS and to safeguard against drought impacts. These communities will also require technology to find alternative water sources such as through desalination (e.g., reverse osmosis) units. Equipment needs for slow onset disasters can be quite complex and costly. Likewise, computer systems for cyclone tracking and satellite imagery for cyclone prone countries are beyond the financial scope of most PICs. The EWS that RESPAC supports are mostly focusing on preventing disasters that are "hydro-met" in nature and can be tracked to a large extend using meteorological instruments. RESPAC was not conceived to provide capacity in terms of monitoring rise in river levels for flood prone delta areas or for monitoring of seismic activities to provide evacuation alarms to citizens living along coastlines susceptible to tsunamis. The term CLEWS - Climate Early Warning Systems is used and frequently referred to in the project document, although some stakeholders tended to confuse CLEWS with EWS. Project staff will need to continuously assure stakeholders to avoid confusing the terminology and acknowledge that Output 1 of RESPAC is targeting climate based early warning systems and not early warning in other contexts.

> Donor and Agency (Supply Side collaboration)

While there has been some attempt to look at what other agencies and donors have provided in the climate based early warning space, there has been limited efforts to coordinate assistance delivered to Pacific Island nations. Hence, critical gaps are being overlooked as there is a rush to fulfil the "early warning" needs. UNDP will ensure that the assistance delivered through RESPAC has maximum leverage and delivers critical skills and technology for the Pacific nations that need these investments the most. As such, one of the first activities

of 2017 will be to organize a meeting of those "supplying assistance" in the EWS space to come together and compare their work plans. Through this approach it is intended that there will be maximum collaboration and avoidance of duplication while at the same time raising the quality of the products offered to PICs.



Priority Tasks

Selection (at Project Board Meeting) of countries for RESPAC investment in terms of Early Warning Systems.

Approval (by Project Board) of proposal to develop a regional training programme for Meteorology technicians and equipment calibrators.

Clear understanding amongst regional and international actors working in the EWS space of each other's workplans to avoid duplication.

Regional Training targeting early recovery practitioners followed by country specific support activities for countries impacted by recent disasters.

Enhancing post disaster coordination mechanisms at national and regional levels through collaboration with UNOCHA and National Disaster Management Agencies focusing on centralization and exchange of critical data by sectors and response agencies.

Collaboration with Insurance Council of Fiji through the Pacific Financial Inclusion Programme (PFIP) to develop products and enhance knowledge of disaster insurance for household and SMEs. Discussion and way forward through acceptance of position paper to develop Early Recovery Trust Fund aimed at regional and national levels.

Intended Results/Impacts

Allows for upscaling of RESPAC efforts at the national level including discussions with priority sectors on linkages and collaboration.

As a regional project albeit with limited budget, the approval of the proposal will provide cost effective means for all 15 countries to benefit directly from this proposal and to send their staff to upgrade skills Limits duplication and allows for

resources to be targeted to priority areas as identified by National Met Officers.

Developing enhanced understanding amongst Government and other NGO/CSO partners on early recovery and identification of country resources that can enhance early recovery across sectors. Efficient distribution of relief and quick turnaround in terms of moving from response to recovery phase and building knowledge disaster around impacts and best mitigation

Introduction of private sector insurance scheme as means of reducing burden on government resources to fund post disaster recovery.

practices.

Quick access of funds specifically for early recovery channelled through efficient and transparent means.

6. PARTNERSHIPS

Given that RESPAC in terms of is a multi-dimensional project with 3 distinct components which also deals with a multiplicity of stakeholders, it is imperative that partnerships are developed and collaboration strengthened to ensure maximum visibility and support for RESPAC funded activities.

Table below outlines some partners that RESPAC has developed relationships with and/or intends to strengthen its engagement in its first full year of implementation.

| | | RES | PAC Componen | nt |
|--|---|--------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Name of Partner | Current Status | Enhancing CLEWS | Disaster Preparedness and Recovery | Financing for Recovery |
| Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) Australia | UNDP does not have any formal working relationship with the BOM although this agency has been at the forefront of climate and oceans programmes and has delivered 2 major projects funded by the Australian Government. BOM has also lead and continues to support CliDE which is the database that stores weather information | V | | |
| National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Sciences (NIWA) | information. NIWA is an institution largely subsidized through income generated from services and support rendered to Met and other relevant agencies in the Asia Pacific Region. UNDP has worked extensively with NIWA for procurement of met equipment funded through GEF. Given its expertise and background knowledge, UNDP might be well served to designate NIWA as a responsible partner so that implementation of activities can be fast tracked without any financial compromise or lack of transparency. | ~ | | |
| Asia Pacific Climate Center (Korea) | APCC implements a major downscaling project on measuring rainfall and is considered as a regional innovator. A partnership with UNDP will help PICs to | ~ | | |

| | | RES | SPAC Componer | nt |
|--|---|--------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Name of Partner | Current Status | Enhancing CLEWS | Disaster Preparedness and Recovery | Financing for Recovery |
| | gather technology and expertise particularly for drought prone PICs | | | |
| Insurance Council of Fiji | A semi statutory board based in Fiji that provides oversight on the insurance sector. Already partners with the UNDP – UNCDF Pacific Financial Inclusion Programme. | | | ✓ |
| National Chamber of Commerce | These generic institutions, established across the Pacific represent the private sector. It will be worthwhile for RESPAC to partner considering their familiarity with the private sector in their respective countries and in the context of increasing private insurance coverage for pertinent sectors of economically fragile PICs. | | | V |
| International Organization for Migration | IOM is quite active as the official response agency for the US Federal Government in the Northern Pacific. Since this agency is mostly concerned with response activity in post disaster context, there is a chance to coordinate will be quite useful. | | ✓ | |

7. PARTNERSHIP WITH THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

In 2016 and in collaboration with the UNDP IRH team based in Moscow, UNDP Pacific Office ensured that the Government of Russia was fully aware of the efforts made to launch the RESPAC project. As outlined in Annex 10.3 extensive media coverage was provided to the Pacific Climate Outlook Forum (PICOF) as the first major activity funded under the project. Further the Project Board Meeting and the visit to the Koro Island, decimated by the Cyclone Winston provided officials of the Russian Government with a good overview of what UNDP was doing at the grassroots level to speed up recovery.

• Cooperation with Russian institutions

As shown in earlier pictures, the Deputy Director General of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Mr. Dimitry Maximychev together with Dr. Igor Scholnik, Leading Climate Scientist – Russia Hydro-Met participated in the inaugural project launch joined by Ms. Natalia from the Russian Embassy in Canberra.

• Use of Russian expertise

At the end of the last mission, informal discussions were held to test out whether ROSHYDROMET can provide up to the minute satellite data to track cyclones in the region. The project further anticipates knowledge exchange tours and this will be discussed further with the Russian Officials during the upcoming board meeting.

• Alignment and coordination with other Russia-funded projects in the area of project implementation, including projects implemented by other international organizations.

Since RESPAC is the second major project funded by the Russian Government, RESPAC took the initiative to link up with another UNDP project "Mitigation of Pacific Cyclone Pam impact" to support its finalisation and take in the lessons learned. Additional linkages are welcome, particularly in improving climate science knowledge, hence UNDP Pacific will be working closer with RBEC and Russian Federation Agencies.

8. COMMUNICATION AND VISIBILITY

For a link to the media and photos generated by the RESPAC, please see Annex 10.3

In addition to the RESPAC Logo, mentioned under the Executive Summary, the following products were developed for public dissemination and outreach targeting national and regional partners.



Starting with 2017, new guidelines of the Russia-UNDP TFD Communication and visibility strategy will be adhered to.

9. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

| OUTPUT | Budgeted | Delivered for | Delivery | Budgeted for | Delivered |
|---|-----------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 001101 | for the | the reported | rate for the | the entire | since the |
| | reported | year | reported | project | project |
| | year | | year (%) | | start |
| Output 1: Strengt | hened early war | ning systems and | climate monito | oring capacity in s | selected PICS. |
| Output 1.1: Increased capacity within national and regional meteorological services to generate user- relevant information on climate risks | \$175,863.00 | \$154,581.00 | 88% | \$7,500,000.00 | \$154,581 |
| Output 1.2: Increased capacity of selected PICs to disseminate and use tailored information on climate to relevant end users. | \$10,000 | Zero | Zero | \$7,500,000.00 | Zero |
| Output 2 Prepare processes strength | - | <u> </u> | | nage disaster rec | overy |
| Output 2.1: Strengthen capacity of selected PIC government to establish, coordinate and manage disaster preparedness and post disaster recovery | \$19,918.00 | \$8,380.00 | 42% | \$7,500,000.00 | \$8,380.00 |
| Output 2.2: Enhanced capacity of the Pacific Humanitarian Team to provide | \$2,800.00 | Zero | Zero | \$7,500,000.00 | Zero |

| recovery support | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------------|------|----------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| to countries | | | | | | | | | | |
| following | | | | | | | | | | |
| disaster events | | | | | | | | | | |
| Output 3: Increased use of financial instruments to manage and share disaster related risk and | | | | | | | | | | |
| fund post disaster | recovery efforts | 5. | | | | | | | | |
| Output 3.1: | \$17,214.00 | \$1,791.00 | 10% | \$7,500,000.00 | \$1,791.00 | | | | | |
| Increased | | | | | | | | | | |
| uptake of | | | | | | | | | | |
| insurance by | | | | | | | | | | |
| individuals, | | | | | | | | | | |
| communities, | | | | | | | | | | |
| enterprises and | | | | | | | | | | |
| government | | | | | | | | | | |
| agencies | | | | | | | | | | |
| Output 3.2: | Zero | Zero | Zero | \$7,500,000.00 | Zero | | | | | |
| Increased use of | | | | | | | | | | |
| financial | | | | | | | | | | |
| instruments to | | | | | | | | | | |
| fund post | | | | | | | | | | |
| disaster | | | | | | | | | | |
| recovery efforts | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Manager | ment | | | | | | | | | |
| Project | \$80,000.00 | \$79,063.00 | 99% | \$7,500,000.00 | \$79,063.00 | | | | | |
| Management | | | | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | \$305,795.00 | \$243,815.00 | 80% | \$7,500,000.00 | \$243,815.00 | | | | | |

Submitted by_Project Manager, A.G. Leenders

Date___15/06/17_____

10. ANNEXES

10.1 **Project performance data**

| Expected outputs | Output indicators | Data source | Baseline | | Value for the previous year if | Target for the | Actual value for the |
|---------------------|--|------------------------------|----------|------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| - | | | Value | Year | different from baseline | reported year | reported year |
| Output 1 | 1.1 | | | | | | |
| | 1 national climate outlook forum conducted | Forum Outcome document | 0 | 2016 | | 1 | 1 |
| | <i>1.2-</i> zero utilization | | | | | | |
| Output 2 | 2.1 | | | | | | |
| | 2.2-zero utilization | | | | | | |
| Output 3 | 3.1 | | | | | | |
| | 3.2-zero utilization | | | | | | |
| PMU | 1 project consultant | IC ToR | 0 | 2016 | | 1 | 1 (male) |

10.2 Combined Delivery Report for 2016.

| 30 ⁻ | Combined De | livery Report By Proj | ect | |
|--|-------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| N P UN Development Programme | | | | ge 1 of 4 |
| aport ID: unglodrp | | | Ru | in Time: 13-06-2017 04:06: |
| election Criteria : | | | | |
| usiness Unit : UNDP1 | | | | |
| eriod : Jan-Dec (2016) elected Project Id : 00094415 | | | | |
| elected Project Id : 00094415 elected Fund Code : ALL | | | | |
| elected Dept. IDs : ALL | | | | |
| elected Outputs : ALL | | | | |
| Project Id: 00094415 Disaster Resilience for Pacifi | | Period : | Jan-Dec (2016) 99999 UNDP | |
| Output #: 00098523 Disaster Resilience - Pac SID | 5 | Impl. Partner : Location : | United Nations Developmen | nt Pro |
| | Govt Exp | UNDP Exp | UN Agencies Exp | Total Exp |
| | GOVEEXP | | on Agencies Exp | Total Exp |
| Dept: 38901 (Pacific Centre - Fiji) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| und: 49639 (Russia-UNDP TF for Develop_Chd) | | | | |
| 61205 - Salaries - GS Staff | 0.00 | 5,338.73 | 0.00 | 5,338.73 |
| 62205 - Dependency Allow - GS Staff 62210 - Contrib to Jt Staff Pens Fd-GS | 0.00 | 53.27 1.041.30 | 0.00 | 53.27 1,041.30 |
| 62215 - Contrib. to Medical, social In | 0.00 | 208.20 | 0.00 | 208.20 |
| 62240 - Annual Leave Expense - GS | 0.00 | - 80.99 | 0.00 | - 80.99 |
| 63530 - Contribution to EOS Benefits | 0.00 | 200.19 | 0.00 | 200.19 |
| 63535 - Contribution to Security | 0.00 | 226.90 | 0.00 | 226.90 |
| 63545 - Contribution to ICT | 0.00 | 80.10 | 0.00 | 80.10 |
| 63550 - Contributions to MAIP 63555 - Contribution to UN JFA | 0.00 | 13.35 173.52 | 0.00 | 13.35 173.52 |
| 63560 - Contributions to Appendix D | 0.00 | 13.35 | 0.00 | 13.35 |
| 64398 - Direct Project Cost-Staff | 0.00 | 34,995.95 | 0.00 | 34,995.95 |
| 65115 - Contributions to ASHI Reserve | 0.00 | 427.11 | 0.00 | 427.11 |
| 65135 - Payroll Mgt Cost Recovery ATLA | 0.00 | 78.43 | 0.00 | 78.43 |
| 71205 - Intl Consultants-Sht Term-Tech | 0.00 | 9,094.43 | 0.00 | 9,094.43 |
| 71305 - Local ConsultSht Term-Tech 71360 - Local Consult-Security | 0.00 | 36,325.89 1,563.87 | 0.00 | 36,325.89 1,563.87 |
| 71605 - Travel Tickets-International | 0.00 | 24,258.07 | 0.00 | 24,258.07 |
| 71610 - Travel Tickets-Local | 0.00 | 2,006.41 | 0.00 | 2,006.41 |
| 71615 - Daily Subsistence Allow-Intl | 0.00 | 11,469.30 | 0.00 | 11,469.30 |
| 71620 - Daily Subsistence Allow-Local | 0.00 | 10,081.32 | 0.00 | 10,081.32 |
| 71625 - Daily Subsist Allow-Mtg Partic 71635 - Travel - Other | 0.00 | 2,091.80 8,711.59 | 0.00 | 2,091.80 8,711.59 |
| 72415 - Courier Charges | 0.00 | 688.25 | 0.00 | 688.25 |
| 72505 - Stationery & other Office Supp | 0.00 | 832.04 | 0.00 | 832.04 |
| 72515 - Print Media | 0.00 | 126.38 | 0.00 | 126.38 |
| 72605 - Grants to Instit & other Benef | 0.00 | 40,000.00 | 0.00 | 40,000.00 |
| 72805 - Acquis of Computer Hardware | 0.00 | 5,600.00 | 0.00 | 5,600.00 |
| 72815 - Inform Technology Supplies 73107 - Rent - Meeting Rooms | 0.00 | 1,724.40 3.848.26 | 0.00 | 1,724.40 3.848.26 |
| 74112 - Accounting related Fees | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 74225 - Other Media Costs | 0.00 | 7,116.17 | 0.00 | 7,116.17 |
| 74510 - Bank Charges | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.40 |
| 74525 - Sundry | 0.00 | 318.35 | 0.00 | 318.35 |
| 74598 - Direct Project Costs - GOE 74725 - Other L.T.S.H. | 0.00 | 14,998.23 | 0.00 | 14,998.23 |
| | 0.00 | 1,402.96 18,095.61 | 0.00 | 1,402.96 18,095.61 |
| | | | 0.00 | 10,000.01 |
| 75105 - Facilities & Admin - Implement | 0.00 | 1.167.45 | 0.00 | 1.167.45 |
| 75105 - Facilities & Admin - Implement 75706 - Learning - ticket costs 76125 - Realized Loss | | 1,167.45 0.02 | 0.00 | 1,167.45 0.02 |
| 75105 - Facilities & Admin - Implement 75706 - Learning - ticket costs | 0.00 | 1,167.45 | | |

Combined Delivery Report By Project

UN DP UN Development Programme Report ID: unglodrp

Page 2 of 4 Run Time: 13-06-2017 04:06:25

| Project Id : 00094415 Disaster Resilience for I Output # : 00098523 Disaster Resilience - Pa | | Period : Impl. Partner : Location : | Jan-Dec (2016) 99999 UNDP United Nations Development Pro | |
|---|----------|---|--|------------|
| | Govt Exp | UNDP Exp | UN Agencies Exp | Total Exp |
| Total for Dept : 38901 | 0.00 | 244,247.66 | 0.00 | 244,247.66 |
| Total for Output: 00098523 | 0.00 | 244,247.66 | 0.00 | 244,247.66 |
| Project Total : | 0.00 | 244,247.66 | 0.00 | 244,247.66 |

UN UN DP UN Development Programme Report ID: unglodrp Combined Delivery Report By Project Page 3 of 4 Run Time: 13-06-2017 04:06:27 Selection Criteria : Business Unit : UNDP1 Period : Jan-Dec (2016) Selected Project Id : 00094415 Selected Fund Code : ALL Selected Dept. IDs : ALL Selected Outputs : ALL Project Id : ALL Output # : ALL Period : Impl. Partner : Location : Jan-Dec (2016) UNDP Exp Govt Exp UN Agencies Exp Total Exp 38901 - Pacific Centre - Fiji 244,247.66 0.00 244,247.66 0.00

Combined Delivery Report By Project

UN UN Development Programme Report ID: unglodrp

Page 4 of 4 Run Time: 13-06-2017 04:06:31

Funds Utilization Selection Criteria : Business Unit : UNDP1 Period : Jan-Dec (2016) Selected Project Id : 00094415 Selected Fund Code : ALL Selected Dept. IDs : ALL Selected Outputs : ALL Project/Award: 00094415 Disaster Resilience for Pacifi Period : As at Dec 31, 2016 Output # 00098523 Impl. Partner :99999 UNDP UNDP AMOUNT Outstanding NEX advances 0.00 Undepreciated Fixed Assets 0.00 0.00 Inventory Prepayments 0.00 Commitments 2,407.83

10.3 Media coverage report with links to main publications

Press Release:

Fiji to host second Pacific Islands Climate Outlook Forum: http://www.pacific.undp.org/content/pacific/en/home/presscenter/pressreleases/2016/10/16/fiji-tohost-second-pacific-islands-climate-outlook-forum.html

A look back as Koro continues to rebuild post Winston:

http://www.pacific.undp.org/content/pacific/en/home/presscenter/pressreleases/2016/10/16/a-look-back-as-koro-continues-to-rebuild-post-winston.html

Pacific Islands Climate Outlook Forum focusses on disaster risk reduction and information relevance: <u>http://www.pacific.undp.org/content/pacific/en/home/presscenter/pressreleases/2016/10/17/pacific-islands-climate-outlook-forum-focusses-on-disaster-risk-reduction-and-information-relevance.html</u>

UNDP-Russia partnership launches disaster resilience project:

http://www.pacific.undp.org/content/pacific/en/home/presscenter/pressreleases/2016/10/17/undprussia-partnership-launches-disaster-resilience-project0.html

Media Coverage:

ABC NEWS: Russian Federation pledges US \$7.5 million for Pacific disaster resilience: http://www.abc.net.au/news/2016-10-18/russian-federation-pledges-us-\$7.5-million-for/7944042

FIJI TIMES: Pacific islands climate outlook forum to focus on disaster risk reduction: http://www.fijitimes.com/story.aspx?id=374830

PACNEWS: UNDP-Russia partnership launches disaster resilience project:

http://www.pina.com.fj/index.php?p=pacnews&m=read&o=2323453955805a1fe62020680fb5f6

PACNEWS: Pacific Islands Climate Outlook Forum focusses on disaster risk reduction and information relevance:

http://www.pina.com.fj/index.php?p=pacnews&m=read&o=75677211158054fc3842eb9776e7fa

PACNEWS: Fiji to host second Pacific Islands Climate Outlook Forum: http://www.pina.com.fj/index.php?p=pacnews&m=read&o=6178298495803f7d26164ee7b3f5a7

PACNEWS: A look back as Koro continues to rebuild post Winston: http://www.pina.com.fj/index.php?p=pacnews&m=read&o=4472881625803f676266740c5ff718

RELIEFWEB INT: Pacific Islands Climate Outlook Forum focuses on disaster risk reduction and information relevance: <u>http://reliefweb.int/report/fiji/pacific-islands-climate-outlook-forum-focuses-disaster-risk-reduction-and-information</u>

TONGA NUKUALOFA TIMES: Fiji to host second Pacific Islands Climate Outlook Forum: https://issuu.com/nukualofatimes/docs/nt86_page21

FOREIGN AFFAIRS: Fiji to host second Pacific Islands Climate Outlook Forum: <u>http://foreignaffairs.co.nz/2016/10/18/fiji-to-host-second-pacific-islands-climate-outlook-forum/</u>

PACIFIC SCOOP NZ: Forum focuses on disaster risk reduction and information: http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/WO1610/S00070/forum-focuses-on-disaster-risk-reduction-andinformation.htm

LOOP VANUATU: UNDP-Russia partnership launches disaster resilience project: <u>http://www.loopvanuatu.com/content/undp-russia-partnership-launches-disaster-resilience-project</u>

LOOP PNG: UNDP-Russia partnership launches disaster resilience project: http://www.looppng.com/content/undp-russia-partnership-launches-disaster-resilience-project

RELIEFWEB INT: A look back as Koro continues to rebuild post Winston: <u>http://reliefweb.int/report/fiji/look-back-koro-continues-rebuild-post-winston</u>

Photos:

Koro Site Visit: <u>https://www.flickr.com/photos/undppc/albums/72157674030235072</u>

PICOF 2: https://www.flickr.com/photos/undppc/albums/72157671840614193

RESPAC Launch: https://www.flickr.com/photos/undppc/albums/72157675306576826